

Erratum

Erratum to “On the generation of P -stable exponentially fitted Runge–Kutta–Nyström methods by exponentially fitted Runge–Kutta methods”

Hans Van de Vyver*

Department of Mathematics, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200 B, B-3001 Heverlee, Belgium

MSC: 65L05; 65L06; 65L20

Keywords: Implicit Runge–Kutta–Nyström methods; Collocation methods; Exponential fitting; P -stability

In this errata we fill a gap in the results of [2]. In that paper we have proved that a collocation-based exponentially fitted Runge–Kutta (EFRK) method with symmetric placed nodes generates a P -stable exponentially fitted Runge–Kutta–Nyström (EFRKN) method when $K \geq 1$. Here, we go one step further: we have proved that this result is also true in the case that $K = 0$.

EFRK methods characterized by $K = 0$ do not satisfy the usual RK assumptions

$$Ae = c \quad \text{and} \quad b^T e = 1. \quad (0.1)$$

Without the restrictions (0.1), the generated RKN method takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i &= y_n + hy'_n \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} + h^2 \sum_{j=1}^s (a_N)_{ij} f(x_n + (c_N)_j h, Y_j), \quad i = 1, \dots, s, \\ y_{n+1} &= y_n + hy'_n \sum_{i=1}^s (b_N)_i + h^2 \sum_{i=1}^s (\bar{b}_N)_i f(x_n + (c_N)_i h, Y_i), \\ y'_{n+1} &= y'_n + h \sum_{i=1}^s (b_N)_i f(x_n + (c_N)_i h, Y_i), \end{aligned} \quad (0.2)$$

where

$$c_N = c, \quad b_N = b, \quad A_N = A^2, \quad \bar{b}_N^T = b^T A. \quad (0.3)$$

DOI of original article: 10.1016/j.cam.2005.04.028.

* Tel.: +32 16 327048; fax: +32 16 327998.

E-mail address: hans_vandevyver@hotmail.com.

0377-0427/\$ - see front matter © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.cam.2006.02.013

The stability matrix [1,3] of algorithm (0.2) is given by

$$M(H^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - H^2 \bar{b}_N^T N^{-1} e & b_N^T e - H^2 \bar{b}_N^T N^{-1} A e \\ -H^2 b_N^T N^{-1} e & 1 - H^2 b_N^T N^{-1} A e \end{pmatrix}, \quad (0.4)$$

where $N = I_s + H^2 A_N$. The next theorem reveals the relation between the stability matrix and the stability function of a RKN method generated by a RK method. The relationship was previously found by van der Houwen et al. [3] and De Meyer et al. [1] for RK methods satisfying (0.1). The theorem remains valid also when the assumptions (0.1) are not satisfied. The proof is a slight adaptation of the proof of Theorem 13 of [1].

Theorem 1. *If a RKN method is generated by a RK method (c, A, b) , then*

$$M(H^2) = R(Z) \quad \text{with } Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -H^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where R is the stability function of the RK method.

Proceeding as in [2] we conclude with:

Theorem 2. *An EFRKN method generated by an EFRK method of collocation type with symmetric placed nodes is P -stable.*

In contrast with [2], Theorem 2 allows to consider two-stage methods. Let us note that at the end of paper [2], we have made the false conclusion that EFRK methods with $K = 0$ generate dissipative EFRKN methods and therefore they are not P -stable. Our mistake is due to the use of the stability matrix of conventional RKN methods. Finally, we have found a small error in the proof of Theorem 3 from [2]. On page 316, Step 2: “ v ” should be “ v_n ”.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by “Grant OT/04/21 of Onderzoeksfonds K. U. Leuven” and “Scholarship BDB-B/05/06 of K. U. Leuven”.

References

- [1] H. De Meyer, G. Vanden Berghe, T. Van Hecke, M. Van Daele, On the generation of mono-implicit Runge–Kutta–Nyström methods by mono-implicit Runge–Kutta methods, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 87 (1997) 147–167.
- [2] H. Van de Vyver, On the generation of P -stable exponentially fitted Runge–Kutta–Nyström methods by exponentially fitted Runge–Kutta methods, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 188 (2006) 309–318.
- [3] P.J. van der Houwen, B.P. Sommeijer, N.H. Cong, Stability of collocation based Runge–Kutta–Nyström methods for oscillatory problems, *BIT* 31 (1991) 469–481.